



**Consultation - Bureau/Department**

4	Director of Buildings	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Concern on the implications on the operation of other Ordinances (in particular s.16(1)(b) &amp; s. 21 (6)(d)Buildings Ordinance). The consequential amendments to other legislations be explored.</li> <li>● Terms confusion on description 'fire safety', 'fire safety requirement' and 'fire safety certification' on the context and suggest to replace 'fire safety' with 'fire services', 'fire services installation and equipment' or other appropriate description</li> <li>● Presumably, RFE should be responsible for the design of FSI. As such, certification by RFE may be considered as 'self-certification' rather than 'third party certification'</li> <li>● To clarify the statement made in the Consultation Paper, which stated "strengthen the relevant Building Regulations to require compliance with the technical standards and safety requirements in a Code of Practice for Mechanical Ventilating Systems" as the statement is considered not clear in terms of area(s) to be strengthened.</li> <li>● Comments on the proposed taking over of the responsibility of registration and disciplining of registered specialist contractors (ventilation works category) should refer to memo dated 25.6.2007</li> <li>● Clarify if the "list of qualified professional engineers" in para. 21 is referring to the Fire Discipline of HKIE in para. 27</li> <li>● Clarify the certification of ventilation systems does not include the associated buildings works</li> <li>● Clarify the terms 'other fire safety requirements', 'local fire safety codes' should refer to FSI only</li> <li>● To assess the market demand if a suitable period is necessary to build up a sufficient number of RFE in the initial stage to meet the demand</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Discuss with BD at that stage</li> <li>● To be followed (Fire Safety Certificate issued by RFE do not want to mix-up with Fire Services Certificate issued by FSD; Fire Safety Certificate is solely for completion of fire services requirements)</li> <li>● To be determined at a later stage, BD would be consulted</li> <li>● EU Report -background para 30-32, 84; strengthen RSC(V) under FSD after taking over the control from BD</li> <li>● TPC for certification of FSI only instead of VS until transfer of regulation of RSC(V) to FSD</li> <li>● Yes</li> <li>● Clarify with Engr(Vent)</li> <li>● No. RFE should possess knowledge on performance-based design and hence MOA, MOE &amp; FRC codes are</li> <li>● Additional choice for the operators; check NP, FSI for A&amp;A stats</li> </ul>
---	-----------------------	---	---

### Consultation - Bureau/Department

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● According to the RFE scheme, Fire Engineering Reports (FER) are required to be submitted by RFE, as such, clarification is needed if such FER cover FSI only. Persons eligible to submit FER is under review through the consultancy study on fire engineering approach and fire safety in building.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● FER involves FSI must be submitted/<b>endorsed</b> by RFE</li> </ul>
5	Director of Electrical and Mechanical Services	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● No comment</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Noted</li> </ul>
6	Director of Food and Environmental Hygiene	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● The areas concerning the issue of FSC and LC upon the introduction of the RFE Scheme become the main concerns of this</li> <li>● Option (A) is more preferable</li> <li>● Option (B) requires legislative amendment to relevant legislation</li> <li>● In case of non-compliance with FSD's required standard and/or false certification was detected by FSD during the audit check but after the issue of license by FEHD, a robust mechanism of sanction should be in place to safeguard against any abuse.</li> <li>● FSD should consult the trade before implementation</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Noted</li> <li>● Noted, Option (A)</li> <li>● Noted</li> <li>● Will follow-up, operator will take rectification. RFE subject to DA &amp; civil claim by operator.</li> <li>● Consulted</li> </ul>
7	Director of Housing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● In principal no objection</li> <li>● Suggest FSD to establish an inspection checklist with clear guidelines for the RFE to follow</li> <li>● Require RFE to make declaration on no conflict of interest with parties like the owner, operators and the responsible FS contractor of the shop premises being inspected by them</li> <li>● To specify the arrangement on implementation of the scheme on HA buildings</li> <li>● Checklist and guidelines to govern consistent standard</li> <li>● Prefer option A</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● FSI Code has included inspection checklist for major FSI</li> <li>● (With relevant documents from other professionals, ICAC to be consulted at a later stage) Will be required to declare and develop guidelines for roles and responsibilities</li> <li>● Discuss with HD to spell out their concerns, no effect in the initial stage, will follow-up at a later stage</li> <li>● FSI Code has included inspection checklist for major</li> <li>● Noted option A</li> </ul>



**Consultation - Bureau/Department**

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Induce financial burden to service providers of the welfare sector, in particular those self-financing and non-profit-making services such as DTRCs to apply or renew licences. In current practice, FSD can exercise discretion in the fire safety requirements of DTRCs in view of their service nature and location e.g. without EVA and/or water supply for fire fighting. Consider flexibility should be maintained under the new scheme</li> <li>● Option A first, then Option B.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Noted, all officers/RFE (if applicable) formulating FS requirements should base on fire risk assessment</li> <li>● Noted option A</li> </ul>
10	Commissioner for Television and Entertainment Licensing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Considered feasible in the licensed premises subject to:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- List of RFEs is available for stakeholder checking purpose</li> <li>- Fire engineers are registered in FSD under the relevant ordinance</li> <li>- a standard format on the certification to be issued by the RFE</li> <li>- FSD will conduct audit check to the certificates issued by RFE</li> </ul> </li> <li>● Concerned on the consequences including revocation or suspension of the licences as well as the legal issues taken by the licensees if FSD has reported improper conduct or negligence of the RFEs after the issue of licences</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● To be included in legislative amendment</li> <li>● To be included in legislative amendment</li> <li>● Noted</li> <li>● To be included in legislative amendment</li> <li>● Will follow-up, operator will take rectification. RFE subject to DA &amp; civil claim by operator.</li> </ul>